

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

<i>Characteristic Category</i>	Weighted		Un-weighted	
	Number	%	Number	%
<i>Age</i>				
18 years old	659	5.2	370	5.7
19 years old	1,296	10.3	745	11.4
20 years old	1,270	10.1	750	11.5
21 years old	1,584	12.6	840	12.9
22 years old	974	7.7	532	8.2
23 years old	612	4.9	352	5.4
24 years old	800	6.4	403	6.2
25 years or older	5,396	42.9	2,528	38.8
<i>Student Affiliation</i>				
Undergraduate	5,687	45.2	3,156	48.4
Graduate or Professional	6,903	54.8	3,364	51.6
<i>Year in school/program</i>				
Freshman	1,384	11.0	776	11.9
Sophomore	1,409	11.2	817	12.5
Junior	1,441	11.4	801	12.3
Senior	1,453	11.5	762	11.7
Graduate or Prof 1st year	2,422	19.2	1,185	18.2
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	1,842	14.6	881	13.5
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	1,141	9.1	582	8.9
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	1,498	11.9	716	11.0
<i>Year first enrolled in the college or university</i>				
2010 or earlier	1,360	10.8	652	10.0
2011	1,904	15.1	984	15.1
2012	2,380	18.9	1,281	19.6
2013	3,054	24.3	1,599	24.5
2014 or 2015	3,892	30.9	2,004	30.7
<i>Hispanic or Latino?</i>				
Yes	1,235	9.8	628	9.6
No	11,355	90.2	5,892	90.4

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

<i>Characteristic Category</i>	<i>Weighted</i>		<i>Un-weighted</i>	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>
<i>Race</i>				
White only	7,951	63.2	4,317	66.2
Black only	835	6.6	355	5.4
Asian only	2,853	22.7	1,376	21.1
Other/Multi race	951	7.6	472	7.2
<i>Gender Identity</i>				
Female	6,091	48.4	3,507	53.8
Male	6,368	50.6	2,945	45.2
Other	131	1.0	68	1.0
<i>Sexual Orientation</i>				
Heterosexual	10,429	84.4	5,411	84.6
Non-Heterosexual	1,922	15.6	988	15.4
<i>Since enrolled in college or university, have you been in a partnered relationship?</i>				
Yes	10,224	81.2	5,301	81.3
No	2,353	18.7	1,212	18.6
Did not answer the question	13	0.1	7	0.1
<i>Have a disability registered with the university?</i>				
Yes	397	3.2	219	3.4
No	12,169	96.7	6,289	96.5
Did not answer the question	23	0.2	12	0.2

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>										
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	1.2	0.1	0.9	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.9	0.2	1.2	0.2
A little	6.4	0.2	7.1	0.4	9.8	0.4	3.9	0.4	4.9	0.4
Somewhat	32.8	0.5	34.9	0.8	37.6	0.8	26.3	0.8	32.0	0.8
Very	47.2	0.4	46.8	0.8	43.2	0.7	51.2	0.9	48.1	0.8
Extremely	12.4	0.3	10.4	0.6	7.9	0.4	17.8	0.7	13.7	0.6
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	8.1	0.2	4.2	0.4	6.2	0.4	11.4	0.6	10.2	0.5
A little	29.2	0.5	27.4	0.8	23.3	0.7	35.0	0.9	31.4	0.8
Somewhat	41.7	0.4	41.4	0.8	45.8	0.9	37.4	0.9	41.5	0.8
Very	16.9	0.3	22.5	0.7	19.6	0.7	12.6	0.5	13.4	0.7
Extremely	4.2	0.2	4.5	0.3	5.2	0.4	3.7	0.4	3.5	0.4
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>										
Not at all	3.0	0.1	3.5	0.3	3.6	0.3	2.2	0.3	2.7	0.3
A little	10.1	0.3	13.2	0.6	12.7	0.5	6.0	0.5	8.5	0.5
Somewhat	29.3	0.4	36.7	0.9	32.8	0.7	26.4	0.7	22.6	0.7
Very	39.7	0.4	36.8	0.9	38.4	0.7	41.3	0.8	41.6	0.9
Extremely	17.9	0.3	9.8	0.5	12.5	0.4	24.1	0.7	24.5	0.8

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus officials would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	3.9	0.2	4.7	0.4	5.2	0.3	2.6	0.3	3.0	0.3
A little	11.5	0.2	15.9	0.5	14.7	0.6	6.7	0.5	8.8	0.5
Somewhat	32.4	0.5	34.8	0.9	36.9	0.9	28.1	0.7	29.4	1.0
Very	36.4	0.4	35.0	0.7	33.0	0.7	39.4	0.9	38.7	0.8
Extremely	15.8	0.3	9.6	0.5	10.2	0.4	23.3	0.7	20.1	0.8
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>										
Not at all	5.0	0.2	4.5	0.4	5.4	0.4	6.2	0.5	4.0	0.4
A little	13.6	0.3	17.2	0.6	14.4	0.6	12.7	0.7	10.8	0.6
Somewhat	41.6	0.4	45.5	0.9	43.5	0.7	38.4	0.8	39.0	1.0
Very	31.2	0.4	27.9	0.7	30.8	0.6	31.6	0.9	34.3	0.8
Extremely	8.5	0.2	4.9	0.3	5.9	0.4	11.0	0.6	11.9	0.6
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>										
Not at all	7.2	0.2	12.2	0.6	7.7	0.5	4.8	0.4	4.8	0.4
A little	23.3	0.4	31.8	0.8	26.2	0.7	19.4	0.7	16.7	0.7
Somewhat	40.2	0.5	39.7	0.8	43.7	0.9	38.7	1.1	38.4	1.1
Very	22.1	0.4	13.9	0.6	18.6	0.6	25.8	0.9	28.9	1.0
Extremely	7.3	0.3	2.5	0.3	3.8	0.3	11.3	0.7	11.2	0.6
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>										
Not at all	10.9	0.3	13.1	0.6	13.0	0.6	8.3	0.6	8.8	0.6
A little	25.0	0.3	31.4	0.8	25.3	0.7	22.6	0.8	21.6	0.8
Somewhat	37.6	0.4	36.4	0.9	37.9	1.0	38.3	0.9	37.7	0.8
Very	20.7	0.4	15.8	0.6	19.3	0.5	22.3	0.8	24.6	0.9
Extremely	5.9	0.2	3.3	0.3	4.5	0.3	8.4	0.6	7.3	0.4

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>				
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	20.8	5.7
Somewhat	55.5	6.2	44.4	8.2
Very	30.2	5.6	34.7	7.6
Extremely	8.4	4.7	-	-
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	-	-	s	s
A little	22.6	5.4	30.7	5.6
Somewhat	33.6	5.1	51.7	5.9
Very	35.9	5.9	14.3	4.4
Extremely	7.9	3.1	-	-
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>				
Not at all	s	s	s	s
A little	10.5	3.6	16.9	4.9
Somewhat	48.0	5.9	14.1	4.6
Very	32.9	5.5	57.9	8.0
Extremely	s	s	s	s

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus official would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	7.8	3.0	s	s
A little	17.3	5.5	25.0	6.4
Somewhat	47.2	6.0	38.8	6.5
Very	27.6	4.6	18.1	6.1
Extremely	-	-	12.3	4.9
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>				
Not at all	10.3	3.4	s	s
A little	14.0	4.3	21.6	6.2
Somewhat	63.0	5.6	48.4	7.7
Very	10.0	3.2	27.1	6.0
Extremely	s	s	-	-
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>				
Not at all	10.6	3.6	s	s
A little	41.4	6.4	30.6	6.3
Somewhat	37.5	5.1	49.4	7.1
Very	10.6	3.5	12.5	4.8
Extremely	-	-	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>				
Not at all	27.1	5.0	18.0	5.3
A little	28.2	6.0	27.2	6.3
Somewhat	33.9	5.7	34.2	7.5
Very	10.8	3.5	20.6	5.9
Extremely	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>										
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>										
Yes	22.1	0.3	42.7	0.7	12.6	0.5	27.4	1.0	10.2	0.6
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	12.1	0.7	9.4	0.8	18.8	1.6	12.3	1.3	12.2	1.9
Did nothing for another reason	22.7	0.7	21.3	1.2	20.0	2.0	24.2	1.6	28.0	2.2
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	59.1	0.9	64.7	1.4	54.5	2.5	56.6	1.7	51.7	2.3
Took action in another way	6.1	0.5	4.6	0.6	6.8	1.1	6.9	0.9	8.1	1.3
No	77.9	0.3	57.3	0.7	87.4	0.5	72.6	1.0	89.8	0.6
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>										
Yes	55.4	0.5	74.9	0.9	39.7	0.7	72.3	0.9	41.5	0.9
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	19.9	0.5	23.2	0.9	22.4	1.0	16.9	0.8	17.3	1.1
Did nothing for another reason	58.5	0.5	45.8	0.9	61.1	1.2	60.5	0.9	71.3	1.2
Directly intervened to stop it	7.1	0.3	10.4	0.6	5.1	0.6	8.2	0.5	2.4	0.4
Spoke to someone else to seek help	5.8	0.2	9.0	0.4	3.7	0.5	6.1	0.5	2.5	0.4
Took action in another way	8.8	0.3	11.5	0.7	7.8	0.7	8.4	0.5	6.4	0.6
No	44.6	0.5	25.1	0.9	60.3	0.7	27.7	0.9	58.5	0.9

**Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>										
Yes	25.3	0.4	38.6	0.8	20.3	0.7	31.4	0.9	14.3	0.7
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	24.3	0.7	25.7	1.4	26.7	1.7	19.4	1.3	26.8	2.3
Did nothing for another reason	32.6	0.9	32.2	1.3	33.7	1.8	30.9	1.6	35.0	2.4
Directly intervened to stop it	14.5	0.6	13.4	1.1	10.0	1.3	21.9	1.4	9.3	1.4
Spoke to someone else to seek help	14.6	0.7	16.8	1.0	12.5	1.2	14.1	1.0	14.1	2.0
Took action in another way	14.0	0.6	11.8	1.1	17.0	1.3	13.6	1.0	14.9	1.6
No	74.7	0.4	61.4	0.8	79.7	0.7	68.6	0.9	85.7	0.7

**Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>				
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>				
Yes	58.3	6.6	23.3	6.2
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	19.1	6.0	-	-
Did nothing for another reason	s	s	s	s
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	61.5	9.7	65.8	16.8
Took action in another way	s	s	-	-
No	41.7	6.6	76.7	6.2
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>				
Yes	76.1	4.8	39.2	7.5
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	13.1	5.4	29.7	10.5
Did nothing for another reason	51.1	8.3	35.7	12.2
Directly intervened to stop it	17.4	5.6	s	s
Spoke to someone else to seek help	s	s	s	s
Took action in another way	10.6	4.2	s	s
No	23.9	4.8	60.8	7.5

**Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>				
Yes	55.9	5.5	39.1	6.7
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	36.2	8.0	-	-
Did nothing for another reason	33.6	9.2	30.0	11.2
Directly intervened to stop it	13.1	5.4	28.1	11.0
Spoke to someone else to seek help	s	s	s	s
Took action in another way	s	s	s	s
No	44.1	5.5	60.9	6.7

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>										
Not at all	9.3	0.3	1.9	0.2	9.9	0.5	7.8	0.5	16.0	0.7
A little	25.2	0.4	17.8	0.6	26.6	0.7	25.6	0.7	29.7	0.8
Somewhat	42.2	0.4	46.3	1.0	43.6	0.9	43.0	0.9	37.1	0.9
Very	18.8	0.3	28.0	0.8	16.2	0.7	18.9	0.8	13.6	0.7
Extremely	4.5	0.2	6.0	0.4	3.7	0.3	4.7	0.4	3.6	0.4
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>										
Not at all	47.9	0.4	18.1	0.6	32.1	0.8	66.2	0.9	72.5	0.8
A little	32.9	0.4	40.6	0.8	43.3	0.7	25.7	0.9	22.5	0.8
Somewhat	14.1	0.3	29.0	0.7	18.5	0.6	6.6	0.5	3.9	0.4
Very	3.8	0.1	9.3	0.4	4.5	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.1
Extremely	1.2	0.1	3.1	0.3	1.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>										
Not at all	44.8	0.4	15.3	0.6	29.5	0.8	62.1	1.0	69.2	0.8
A little	33.9	0.4	39.8	0.7	44.1	0.8	27.4	1.1	24.8	0.7
Somewhat	17.1	0.3	35.2	0.9	21.4	0.7	8.7	0.5	5.2	0.4
Very	3.6	0.2	8.5	0.5	4.2	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.7	0.1
Extremely	0.6	0.1	1.3	0.2	0.7	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	s

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>				
Not at all	s	s	s	s
A little	14.6	4.3	12.9	3.8
Somewhat	37.7	4.7	43.3	6.3
Very	26.2	5.3	31.5	5.7
Extremely	16.5	5.3	s	s
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>				
Not at all	14.0	4.1	32.9	6.2
A little	51.3	5.7	25.0	5.4
Somewhat	27.1	5.2	25.8	6.2
Very	7.7	3.1	16.3	4.5
Extremely	-	-	-	-
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>				
Not at all	17.9	4.6	38.5	6.0
A little	36.1	4.8	33.9	6.0
Somewhat	34.9	5.8	10.2	4.2
Very	11.1	3.8	17.4	4.8
Extremely	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Awareness of services</i>										
Aware of services provided by The SHARE Center	69.0	0.3	92.7	0.5	58.4	0.8	83.5	0.6	47.8	0.7
Aware of services provided by Title IX Coordinators	55.3	0.4	54.7	0.9	54.3	0.7	59.9	0.8	52.6	0.9
Aware of services provided by University-Wide Committee (UWC)	30.0	0.4	39.7	0.8	17.5	0.6	45.4	0.9	21.6	0.7
Aware of services provided by Yale Police Department	87.3	0.3	88.9	0.6	83.7	0.6	92.5	0.6	85.1	0.6
Aware of services provided by Yale Health	92.0	0.2	94.7	0.4	90.7	0.5	95.3	0.4	88.4	0.6

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about how sexual assault and sexual misconduct defined at university</i>										
Not at all	10.7	0.3	9.2	0.5	14.8	0.5	6.3	0.5	11.9	0.6
A little bit	27.8	0.4	26.6	0.8	33.1	0.9	23.2	0.7	27.6	0.9
Somewhat	38.8	0.4	39.8	0.8	35.6	0.8	39.7	0.9	40.2	0.8
Very	17.8	0.3	17.5	0.6	13.7	0.6	23.3	0.8	17.8	0.7
Extremely	4.9	0.2	7.0	0.4	2.9	0.2	7.5	0.4	2.6	0.3
<i>Knowledgeable about where to get help at university if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Not at all	5.1	0.2	2.1	0.3	7.6	0.5	2.5	0.3	7.4	0.4
A little bit	20.1	0.3	17.0	0.7	24.2	0.8	13.6	0.6	24.0	0.7
Somewhat	36.5	0.4	35.7	0.7	37.5	0.8	35.5	0.8	37.2	0.8
Very	28.9	0.4	31.5	0.8	24.9	0.8	35.2	1.0	25.8	0.9
Extremely	9.3	0.3	13.7	0.5	5.8	0.4	13.2	0.7	5.6	0.4
<i>Knowledgeable about where to make a report if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	12.4	0.3	14.7	0.6	15.2	0.5	9.6	0.5	10.4	0.5
A little bit	24.0	0.4	23.9	0.7	25.4	0.8	19.7	0.8	26.1	0.9
Somewhat	35.3	0.4	34.4	0.8	36.2	0.9	35.7	0.9	35.2	0.7
Very	20.7	0.3	18.1	0.7	18.1	0.5	23.6	0.8	23.1	0.8
Extremely	7.6	0.2	8.9	0.5	5.1	0.4	11.4	0.5	5.2	0.4

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about what happens when a student reports sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	26.2	0.4	25.0	0.7	33.6	0.9	19.3	0.7	26.0	0.7
A little bit	31.2	0.4	31.4	0.8	31.3	0.7	29.1	0.9	32.6	0.8
Somewhat	29.5	0.3	28.3	0.8	26.4	0.7	33.6	0.8	30.2	0.8
Very	9.3	0.3	9.7	0.6	6.7	0.4	11.9	0.5	9.4	0.6
Extremely	3.8	0.2	5.6	0.4	2.0	0.2	6.2	0.5	1.8	0.2
<i>Initial university orientation included information about sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Yes	82.2	0.7	91.0	1.0	75.4	1.2	89.5	1.3	79.2	1.2
Not at all	5.2	0.4	3.9	0.7	6.2	0.6	5.5	0.9	5.1	0.7
A little	20.6	0.7	17.5	1.4	26.0	1.5	17.9	1.5	19.6	1.5
Somewhat	43.3	0.9	40.7	1.9	43.1	1.6	41.9	1.9	46.3	1.7
Very	26.9	0.8	32.9	1.7	22.0	1.3	30.8	2.1	24.3	1.6
Extremely	4.0	0.4	5.0	0.7	2.6	0.5	3.9	0.7	4.7	0.8
No	3.7	0.3	1.7	0.5	6.8	0.7	1.5	0.4	3.4	0.6
I didn't attend orientation	2.9	0.3	0.9	0.3	3.1	0.5	2.8	0.7	3.9	0.7
I don't remember	11.2	0.5	6.3	0.9	14.7	1.0	6.2	0.9	13.5	1.0

¹ Per 100 students.

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	397	14.3	0.6	777	28.1	0.9
Penetration	119	4.3	0.3	365	13.2	0.6
Physical force only	40	1.4	0.2	179	6.5	0.4
Completed	23	0.8	0.2	121	4.4	0.4
Attempted	20	0.7	0.1	100	3.6	0.3
Incapacitation only	76	2.7	0.3	203	7.3	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	8	0.3	0.1	30	1.1	0.2
Sexual Touching	327	11.8	0.6	596	21.5	0.8
Physical force only	243	8.8	0.5	409	14.8	0.7
Incapacitation only	97	3.5	0.3	236	8.5	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	17	0.6	0.2	36	1.3	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	136	4.1	0.3	273	8.2	0.4
Penetration	53	1.6	0.2	120	3.6	0.3
Physical force only	26	0.8	0.1	56	1.7	0.2
Completed	20	0.6	0.1	38	1.2	0.2
Attempted	7	0.2	0.1	30	0.9	0.2
Incapacitation only	31	0.9	0.1	69	2.1	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	9	0.3	0.1
Sexual Touching	102	3.1	0.3	186	5.6	0.3
Physical force only	79	2.4	0.2	141	4.2	0.3
Incapacitation only	32	1.0	0.2	54	1.6	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	6	0.2	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	121	4.2	0.3	233	8.2	0.6
Penetration	43	1.5	0.2	90	3.1	0.3
Physical force only	18	0.6	0.1	40	1.4	0.2
Completed	13	0.5	0.1	25	0.9	0.2
Attempted	10	0.4	0.1	27	0.9	0.2
Incapacitation only	26	0.9	0.2	59	2.1	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	7	0.2	0.1	7	0.2	0.1
Sexual Touching	88	3.1	0.3	164	5.7	0.5
Physical force only	46	1.6	0.3	89	3.1	0.4
Incapacitation only	44	1.6	0.2	84	2.9	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	6	0.2	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	59	1.7	0.2	89	2.5	0.3
Penetration	19	0.5	0.1	27	0.8	0.2
Physical force only	s	s	s	9	0.3	0.1
Completed	s	s	s	7	0.2	0.1
Attempted	s	s	s	s	s	s
Incapacitation only	15	0.4	0.1	17	0.5	0.1
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	48	1.4	0.2	74	2.1	0.3
Physical force only	32	0.9	0.2	47	1.3	0.2
Incapacitation only	14	0.4	0.1	25	0.7	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	s	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	9	13.1	3.9	20	28.4	5.0
Penetration	6	8.3	3.3	15	21.1	4.4
Physical force only	-	-	-	s	s	s
Completed	-	-	-	s	s	s
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	5	7.1	2.8
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	8	10.8	3.6
Sexual Touching	s	s	s	12	17.1	4.6
Physical force only	s	s	s	5	7.4	3.0
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	5	7.3	2.9
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	s	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	s	s	s	7	11.8	4.8
Penetration	-	-	-	7	11.8	4.8
Physical force only	-	-	-	s	s	s
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	s	s	s
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	s	s	s
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	s	s	s
Sexual Touching	s	s	s	s	s	s
Physical force only	s	s	s	s	s	s
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	s	s	s
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	10.0	0.3	27.4	0.9	7.5	0.4	5.9	0.5	1.6	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	17.0	0.7	32.6	2.4	11.5	1.6	18.0	1.7	7.5	1.1
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	14.6	1.0	27.9	2.4	8.4	1.6	15.7	1.9	5.4	1.3
Not Hispanic	10.8	0.3	28.2	1.0	8.2	0.4	7.3	0.6	2.2	0.3
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	21.2	2.4	31.3	4.5	15.1	7.7	21.5	5.8	12.9	4.5
Asian	9.9	0.5	27.7	1.7	6.8	0.7	5.5	1.0	1.2	0.4
Black or African American	15.5	1.0	30.7	2.1	8.9	1.9	8.4	2.0	4.7	1.9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	33.8	8.3	67.6	12.1	-	-	s	s	-	-
White	11.1	0.3	28.1	1.0	8.6	0.6	8.2	0.6	2.7	0.4
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	20.6	1.9	43.6	3.5	21.3	3.9	8.2	2.9	-	-
No	10.8	0.3	27.3	1.0	7.7	0.4	8.2	0.6	2.6	0.3
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	6.4	0.3	-	-	9.5	0.5	-	-	3.1	0.4
Not married but living with a partner	5.7	0.8	-	-	8.2	1.3	-	-	3.2	0.9
Married	1.1	0.3	-	-	2.2	0.7	-	-	s	s
Divorced or separated	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other	9.5	2.0	-	-	15.5	3.4	-	-	s	s

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	12.5	0.8	19.6	1.5	-	-	5.7	0.8	-	-
Sophomore	10.1	0.7	15.3	1.2	-	-	4.6	0.9	-	-
Junior	6.6	0.6	11.1	1.1	-	-	2.9	0.6	-	-
Senior	7.7	0.7	11.2	1.2	-	-	3.7	0.7	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	12.5	0.8	19.6	1.5	-	-	5.7	0.8	-	-
Sophomore	17.2	1.0	26.7	1.7	-	-	6.9	1.1	-	-
Junior	18.3	1.0	31.2	1.7	-	-	6.9	0.9	-	-
Senior	23.9	1.1	34.6	1.6	-	-	12.8	1.2	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	4.4	0.4	-	-	6.6	0.5	-	-	2.5	0.5
2nd year	2.6	0.3	-	-	3.5	0.6	-	-	1.6	0.5
3rd year	2.4	0.4	-	-	3.0	0.7	-	-	1.9	0.6
4th year	1.4	0.5	-	-	2.3	0.9	-	-	s	s
5th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	5.0	0.4	-	-	7.6	0.6	-	-	2.7	0.5
2nd year	4.7	0.4	-	-	7.1	0.8	-	-	2.2	0.5
3rd year	5.8	0.7	-	-	9.0	1.3	-	-	2.3	0.6
4th year	5.5	0.9	-	-	8.9	1.7	-	-	3.0	1.0
5th year	6.1	1.2	-	-	9.5	2.0	-	-	3.1	1.2
6th year or higher	7.3	1.2	-	-	11.8	2.0	-	-	s	s

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

² Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	16.5	0.4	13.0	0.7	3.3	0.3	21.1	0.8	5.0	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	22.2	1.5	14.6	1.6	4.3	0.9	24.1	2.2	8.2	1.5
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	20.4	1.5	13.3	1.6	5.1	1.3	22.1	2.3	4.0	1.2
Not Hispanic	17.0	0.5	13.3	0.7	3.5	0.3	21.6	0.9	5.7	0.4
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	25.7	3.5	21.1	3.7	s	s	22.7	4.2	15.1	7.7
Asian	15.8	0.9	11.8	1.1	2.6	0.5	22.1	1.6	5.1	0.7
Black or African American	22.1	1.5	13.0	1.4	3.7	1.3	23.5	1.9	7.0	1.7
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	43.7	10.4	s	s	-	-	67.6	12.1	-	-
White	17.5	0.6	14.3	0.7	4.0	0.4	20.9	0.9	5.4	0.5
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	33.4	2.7	25.1	3.3	11.5	3.1	30.6	3.5	11.2	3.0
No	16.6	0.4	12.7	0.7	3.4	0.3	21.1	0.8	5.4	0.3
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	9.5	0.5	-	-	4.2	0.3	-	-	6.6	0.5
Not married but living with a partner	8.2	1.3	-	-	3.5	1.0	-	-	5.0	1.1
Married	2.2	0.7	-	-	s	s	-	-	1.8	0.7
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	15.5	3.4	-	-	8.6	2.8	-	-	8.9	2.7

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	19.6	1.5	5.2	0.8	-	-	16.8	1.4	-	-
Sophomore	15.3	1.2	5.7	0.8	-	-	12.5	1.0	-	-
Junior	11.1	1.1	2.7	0.6	-	-	9.3	1.1	-	-
Senior	11.2	1.2	3.5	0.7	-	-	8.7	1.1	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	19.6	1.5	5.2	0.8	-	-	16.8	1.4	-	-
Sophomore	26.7	1.7	11.1	1.1	-	-	20.8	1.5	-	-
Junior	31.2	1.7	15.9	1.4	-	-	22.6	1.5	-	-
Senior	34.6	1.6	20.4	1.3	-	-	25.8	1.4	-	-
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	6.6	0.5	-	-	2.6	0.4	-	-	5.0	0.5
2nd year	3.5	0.6	-	-	1.7	0.4	-	-	2.4	0.5
3rd year	3.0	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	0.7
4th year	2.3	0.9	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
5th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
6th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	7.6	0.6	-	-	2.9	0.4	-	-	5.9	0.5
2nd year	7.1	0.8	-	-	3.5	0.6	-	-	4.5	0.7
3rd year	9.0	1.3	-	-	2.7	0.7	-	-	7.8	1.1
4th year	8.9	1.7	-	-	2.8	1.0	-	-	6.1	1.7
5th year	9.5	2.0	-	-	7.5	1.9	-	-	4.0	1.4
6th year	11.8	2.0	-	-	7.2	1.6	-	-	4.6	1.2

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	97.2	0.2	95.7	0.3	98.4	0.2
1 time	2.1	0.2	3.4	0.3	1.0	0.1
2 times	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	92.0	0.3	86.8	0.6	96.4	0.3
1 time	4.9	0.3	7.8	0.5	2.5	0.2
2 times	1.6	0.1	2.8	0.3	0.7	0.1
3 times	0.7	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.1
4 or more times	0.8	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.1	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	95.5	0.2	88.2	0.6	96.9	0.3	96.9	0.3	98.6	0.2
1 time	2.2	0.1	5.6	0.4	1.8	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.6	0.1
2 times	1.4	0.1	3.8	0.4	0.7	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.1
3 times	0.4	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.4	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	91.8	0.2	78.6	0.8	94.4	0.3	94.3	0.5	97.9	0.3
1 time	3.8	0.1	8.9	0.5	3.2	0.3	2.8	0.3	1.1	0.2
2 times	2.2	0.1	5.7	0.4	1.4	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.6	0.1
3 times	1.0	0.1	3.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1
4 or more times	1.3	0.1	3.8	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	73.7	2.7	78.7	3.0
Some incidents occurred during academic break	9.5	1.8	6.2	1.6
All incidents occurred during academic break	16.8	2.3	15.1	2.7
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	71.6	2.4	65.5	3.1
No	28.4	2.4	34.5	3.1
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	74.1	2.9	83.3	3.0
Fraternity or Sorority house	16.9	2.8	12.1	2.5
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	3.5	1.4
Other residential housing	11.6	2.6	8.0	2.1
Non-residential building	8.0	1.7	s	s
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	3.4	1.1	4.0	1.6
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	71.1	6.0	68.7	4.6
Fraternity or Sorority house	-	-	s	s
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	7.4	3.0	8.8	3.0
Other social venue	-	-	-	-
Outdoor or recreational space	s	s	s	s
Some other place	20.9	4.6	16.6	3.5

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	86.2	1.7	83.4	2.6
Some incidents occurred during academic break	8.6	1.3	9.8	1.9
All incidents occurred during academic break	5.2	1.0	6.8	1.5
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	68.1	1.8	71.5	2.6
No	31.9	1.8	28.5	2.6
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	44.3	2.3	73.8	3.1
Fraternity or Sorority house	32.1	2.3	19.0	2.5
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	3.2	1.3
Other residential housing	6.4	1.1	7.2	1.9
Non-residential building	26.3	2.1	9.8	2.4
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	23.6	2.3	8.4	1.9
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	21.3	2.9	59.9	5.5
Fraternity or Sorority house	12.4	2.0	7.5	2.9
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	s	s
Restaurant, bar, or club	60.7	4.0	25.2	4.8
Other social venue	17.5	2.7	7.9	3.1
Outdoor or recreational space	7.9	1.9	-	-
Some other place	5.5	1.4	12.6	3.7

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	83.4	3.6	77.8	4.3
Some incidents occurred during academic break	11.1	2.8	14.5	3.6
All incidents occurred during academic break	5.5	2.3	7.6	2.6
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	64.9	4.5	66.4	5.2
No	35.1	4.5	33.6	5.2
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	56.8	6.0	57.7	6.7
Fraternity or Sorority house	18.6	5.2	13.0	4.3
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Other residential housing	s	s	11.6	4.0
Non-residential building	29.4	6.1	s	s
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	19.8	5.0	20.2	6.0
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	55.7	8.2	55.1	11.7
Fraternity or Sorority house	s	s	15.9	5.6
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	45.6	8.2	24.0	8.7
Other social venue	14.5	5.8	s	s
Outdoor or recreational space	-	-	-	-
Some other place	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	-	-	s	s
Male	100	0.0	97.8	0.9
Other gender identity	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	80.0	2.1	74.0	2.8
2 offenders	16.9	1.7	20.1	2.5
3 or more offenders	3.1	1.0	5.9	1.4
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	79.2	2.4	87.6	2.0
Faculty or instructor	s	s	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	s	s
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	3.6	1.2	s	s
The person was not affiliated with university	4.0	1.1	s	s
Don't know association with university	19.8	2.5	10.9	2.1
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	28.4	2.7	24.3	2.6
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	16.5	2.3	15.2	2.5
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.0	0.8	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	55.7	2.7	58.0	3.0
Stranger	21.8	2.4	17.9	2.5
Other	1.8	0.7	2.5	1.0
Don't Know	s	s	-	-

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	2.5	0.7	4.2	1.2
Male	99.1	0.5	97.6	0.9
Other gender identity	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	69.8	1.6	72.4	2.5
2 offenders	20.4	1.3	21.0	2.4
3 or more offenders	9.8	1.2	6.6	1.4
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	79.2	1.8	87.7	2.0
Faculty or instructor	s	s	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	1.3	0.5	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	13.9	1.5	5.2	1.6
Don't know association with university	16.1	1.6	13.3	2.3
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.5	1.1	19.5	2.4
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	8.9	1.1	11.7	2.0
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	1.3	0.5	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	49.0	1.8	55.0	3.2
Stranger	47.6	1.8	28.2	3.0
Other	1.8	0.6	s	s
Don't Know	1.4	0.5	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	57.7	5.6	64.3	4.9
Male	58.7	5.8	44.8	5.2
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	72.0	4.3	76.7	4.5
2 offenders	18.0	3.3	15.8	3.9
3 or more offenders	9.9	2.6	7.5	2.6
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	85.2	2.9	82.0	4.3
Faculty or instructor	-	-	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	9.7	2.4	6.2	2.4
Don't know association with university	5.1	2.0	19.2	3.8
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	8.5	2.5	11.4	3.0
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	15.4	3.1	9.0	3.2
Teacher or advisor	-	-	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	s	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	62.0	4.7	57.5	5.7
Stranger	23.1	3.5	29.7	5.7
Other	5.8	2.3	-	-
Don't Know	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	70.4	2.2	83.2	2.2
No	19.8	2.0	10.1	1.8
Don't know	9.8	1.6	6.7	1.6
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	11.6	1.7	11.3	1.8
No	54.7	2.8	56.4	3.1
Don't know	33.7	2.8	32.2	2.8
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	67.3	2.5	94.1	1.4
No	32.7	2.5	5.9	1.4
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	5.0	1.2	8.9	1.5
No	95.0	1.2	91.1	1.5
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	2.7	0.9	s	s
I suspect, but I am not certain	3.7	1.0	8.7	2.1
No	88.7	2.0	82.3	2.7
Don't know	4.8	1.2	7.6	1.8
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	21.7	3.0	24.5	2.5
No	74.5	3.2	40.5	2.9
Not sure	3.8	1.4	35.0	2.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	63.6	2.0	81.1	2.4
No	11.5	1.3	7.0	1.5
Don't know	24.9	1.7	11.9	2.0
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	4.5	0.8	3.5	1.2
No	32.1	1.7	52.9	3.3
Don't know	63.4	1.7	43.6	3.1
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	62.1	2.3	95.5	1.2
No	37.9	2.3	4.5	1.2
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	3.5	0.8	6.0	1.4
No	96.5	0.8	94.0	1.4
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	s	s	s	s
I suspect, but I am not certain	s	s	s	s
No	96.3	0.8	93.4	1.6
Don't know	2.9	0.7	5.4	1.5
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	2.9	0.9	9.8	1.9
No	95.1	1.1	63.9	3.3
Not sure	2.0	0.7	26.3	3.2

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	67.3	4.3	73.9	5.3
No	12.9	2.9	11.9	3.4
Don't know	19.8	3.6	14.2	4.9
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	10.1	2.6	8.1	2.7
No	33.3	4.2	47.1	5.5
Don't know	56.6	4.2	44.8	5.0
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	61.4	4.6	87.9	3.5
No	38.6	4.6	12.1	3.5
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	s	s	8.0	3.3
No	96.7	1.6	92.0	3.3
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	s	s	-	-
I suspect, but I am not certain	s	s	7.8	3.2
No	90.3	2.5	86.3	3.7
Don't know	4.7	1.9	5.9	2.4
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	s	s	24.0	4.6
No	98.1	1.3	56.9	5.1
Not sure	-	-	19.1	3.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	18.1	1.8	2.3	0.9
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	72.3	6.4	s	s
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	54.8	6.8	s	s
Other injuries	s	s	s	s
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	5.1	1.2	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	s	s	s	s
None of the above	77.4	2.0	93.5	1.5
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	58.3	3.4	44.2	2.7
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	44.5	3.3	23.6	2.7
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	36.6	3.2	26.4	2.8
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	44.5	3.4	24.0	3.3
Feeling numb or detached	53.6	2.5	46.4	3.5
Headaches or stomach aches	21.6	2.5	9.7	1.9
Eating problems or disorders	17.3	2.1	10.2	2.1
Increased drug or alcohol use	19.3	2.0	18.5	2.0
None of the above	17.4	2.2	37.7	2.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	2.8	0.7	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	89.2	7.8	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	s	s	-	-
Other injuries	s	s	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	s	s	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	95.6	0.8	98.5	0.7
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	23.4	1.9	23.2	2.9
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	18.1	1.8	12.1	2.1
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.5	1.4	13.8	2.3
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.3	1.5	9.1	1.6
Feeling numb or detached	15.7	1.4	23.7	2.8
Headaches or stomach aches	3.9	0.9	5.2	1.3
Eating problems or disorders	4.2	0.8	7.2	1.5
Increased drug or alcohol use	4.4	0.8	6.6	1.4
None of the above	62.7	2.1	61.4	3.2

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	s	s	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	s	s	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	s	s	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	96.6	1.5	98.3	1.2
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	21.0	3.3	16.0	3.8
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	15.8	3.4	6.4	2.5
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	12.6	3.0	10.0	3.1
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.4	2.3	s	s
Feeling numb or detached	14.7	3.0	15.7	4.2
Headaches or stomach aches	10.7	3.1	s	s
Eating problems or disorders	9.3	2.8	s	s
Increased drug or alcohol use	4.8	2.0	7.6	2.7
None of the above	57.7	4.1	73.2	4.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
<i>Reported to a Program</i>								
Yes	27.4	2.5	17.9	2.7	6.8	1.0	5.8	1.3
No	72.6	2.5	82.1	2.7	93.2	1.0	94.2	1.3
<i>Did not contact a Program</i>								
Did not know where to go or who to tell	17.4	2.6	11.1	2.2	7.9	1.2	3.8	1.3
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	38.8	3.2	36.6	3.5	11.0	1.4	13.9	2.2
I did not think anyone would believe me	13.7	2.3	6.8	1.7	5.0	0.9	s	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	65.4	3.7	66.2	3.2	84.4	1.5	88.8	2.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	35.0	3.2	32.0	3.0	18.4	1.8	21.5	2.6
I feared negative social consequences	38.7	3.3	26.0	3.1	12.0	1.3	16.4	2.6
I did not think anything would be done	31.3	3.5	26.2	2.9	17.6	1.9	10.7	2.2
I feared it would not be kept confidential	25.8	3.4	14.6	2.3	6.1	1.1	6.4	1.6
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	3.5	1.1	2.5	1.0	1.1	0.4	2.6	1.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	17.4	2.3	14.0	2.4	7.4	1.0	7.9	2.0
Other Reason	6.3	1.9	12.8	2.6	7.6	1.2	14.8	2.3

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Report to others</i>								
Friend	87.0	1.9	79.9	2.6	78.8	1.7	75.8	2.6
Family member	31.4	2.9	17.9	2.2	9.6	1.1	8.9	1.8
Faculty	14.7	2.4	9.8	2.0	4.0	0.9	s	s
Someone else	9.4	1.6	10.8	1.8	4.6	0.8	3.2	1.1
I didn't tell anyone else	11.2	1.8	14.8	2.4	19.6	1.6	24.0	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program				
<i>Reported to a program</i>				
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-
No	98.7	0.9	95.5	2.2
Yes	s	s	s	s
<i>Did not contact a program</i>				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	10.2	3.1	s	s
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	10.7	3.0	15.2	4.0
I did not think anyone would believe me	6.9	2.2	-	-
I did not think anything would be done	19.2	3.5	12.5	3.2
I did not think it was serious enough to report	72.7	4.4	70.9	5.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	14.2	3.4	24.1	4.6
I feared it would not be kept confidential	8.2	2.9	8.5	2.8
I feared negative social consequences	9.5	3.0	13.2	3.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	s	s	8.7	3.0
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	s	s	6.3	2.5
Other Reason	21.0	3.7	15.4	4.1
<i>Report to others</i>				
Friend	67.9	4.5	70.5	4.3
Family member	9.3	2.5	s	s
Faculty	s	s	6.0	2.3
Someone else	8.3	2.6	s	s
I didn't tell anyone else	24.8	4.2	32.0	4.4

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	The SHARE Center		Title IX Coordinators		University-Wide Committee (UWC)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	68.9	3.4	34.0	3.7	23.9	3.3
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	48.5	4.3	55.9	5.5	45.4	8.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	29.4	3.7	31.8	6.0	31.7	7.0
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.6	2.8	9.4	3.6	s	s
Prior to Fall 2012	8.7	2.6	s	s	14.4	5.2
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	s	s	s	s	s	s
A little	s	s	20.4	6.6	31.1	11.6
Somewhat	15.3	4.5	34.7	7.3	s	s
Very	34.1	6.5	33.5	8.6	32.4	11.8
Extremely	43.6	6.2	15.7	6.4	s	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	28.6	6.0	24.2	8.5
To proceed	s	s	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	94.2	2.8	71.4	6.0	75.8	8.5

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	The SHARE Center		Title IX Coordinators		University-Wide Committee (UWC)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	68.9	3.4	34.0	3.7	23.9	3.3
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	91.2	3.3	49.9	6.8	43.9	10.5
Very good	7.8	2.9	29.6	6.9	29.3	11.1
Good	-	-	15.1	6.1	s	s
Fair	s	s	-	-	s	s
Poor	-	-	s	s	s	s
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	66.8	5.1	34.8	7.3	25.9	9.3
Very good	23.3	4.8	36.0	7.5	29.4	11.4
Good	12.1	4.0	23.7	6.3	36.9	10.4
Fair	-	-	s	s	-	-
Poor	-	-	s	s	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Yale Police Department		Yale Health		New Haven Police Department	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	11.4	2.5	49.6	4.1	5.8	1.8
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	25.2	8.8	59.6	5.1	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	50.4	15.0	19.2	4.4	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	13.6	4.0	-	-
Prior to Fall 2012	s	s	7.6	3.0	s	s
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	s	s	9.5	3.9	s	s
A little	-	-	33.8	6.6	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	12.6	5.0	-	-
Very	-	-	29.4	5.3	-	-
Extremely	-	-	18.2	6.5	-	-
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	14.4	4.5	s	s
To proceed	s	s	100.0	0.0	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	s	s
No	s	s	85.6	4.5	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Yale Police Department		Yale Health		New Haven Police Department	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	11.4	2.5	49.6	4.1	5.8	1.8
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	s	s	35.9	6.6	-	-
Very good	-	-	31.3	7.9	-	-
Good	s	s	23.2	5.7	s	s
Fair	s	s	s	s	-	-
Poor	-	-	s	s	s	s
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	s	s	14.2	4.3	-	-
Very good	-	-	29.4	5.7	-	-
Good	-	-	23.5	6.9	-	-
Fair	s	s	23.2	5.0	-	-
Poor	s	s	9.7	4.0	s	s

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
Coercion	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	s	s	s	s	s	s
Penetration	0.1	0.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Sexual touching	0.0	0.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	4.5	0.2	11.4	0.5	3.6	0.3	3.2	0.3	0.9	0.2
Penetration	1.7	0.1	4.4	0.4	1.4	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.1
Sexual touching	3.2	0.1	8.0	0.4	2.5	0.2	2.3	0.3	0.6	0.1
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Coercion	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Penetration	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Sexual touching	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s	s	s
Absence of affirmative consent	8.3	0.2	20.5	0.6	7.0	0.4	6.0	0.5	1.5	0.2
Penetration	3.2	0.2	7.9	0.5	2.9	0.3	2.0	0.3	0.7	0.2
Sexual touching	6.2	0.2	15.8	0.5	4.9	0.4	4.8	0.4	0.9	0.2

**Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)**

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>				
Coercion	s	s	-	-
Penetration	s	s	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	13.1	3.9	s	s
Penetration	s	s	s	s
Sexual touching	9.9	3.3	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>				
Coercion	s	s	-	-
Penetration	s	s	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	19.4	4.0	14.4	4.9
Penetration	9.4	3.0	10.9	4.4
Sexual touching	9.9	3.3	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
<i>Coercion</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
2 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	100	0.0	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	s	s	s	s	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	98.3	0.1	95.6	0.4	98.6	0.2	99.0	0.2	99.6	0.1
1 time	1.0	0.1	2.7	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1
2 times	0.4	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.1	-	-	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	s	s	s	s	0.2	0.1	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	96.8	0.2	92.1	0.5	97.1	0.3	98.0	0.3	99.3	0.2
1 time	1.9	0.1	4.6	0.3	2.1	0.2	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.1
2 times	0.8	0.1	2.0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1
3 times	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.2	s	s	0.2	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	93.8	0.2	84.2	0.5	95.1	0.4	95.2	0.4	99.1	0.2
1 time	3.7	0.1	8.8	0.4	3.2	0.3	3.2	0.3	0.5	0.1
2 times	1.5	0.1	4.1	0.4	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
3 times	0.6	0.1	1.6	0.2	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.4	0.0	1.3	0.2	s	s	0.2	0.1	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	7.4	0.2	18.7	0.7	6.9	0.4	4.5	0.5	1.1	0.2
Non-Heterosexual	13.0	0.7	31.7	1.9	6.0	1.0	12.1	1.3	3.8	0.8
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	11.5	0.9	24.6	2.0	8.1	1.8	11.1	1.8	s	s
Not Hispanic	7.9	0.2	19.9	0.7	6.9	0.4	5.4	0.4	1.4	0.2
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	14.5	2.0	31.3	4.7	-	-	18.2	4.9	-	-
Asian	6.3	0.4	16.2	1.4	5.0	0.6	3.5	0.8	0.8	0.3
Black or African American	11.2	1.0	22.6	2.2	7.0	1.9	7.6	1.6	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	12.8	5.2	31.9	11.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	8.8	0.3	21.5	0.7	8.0	0.5	6.5	0.5	1.6	0.2
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	20.2	1.6	37.5	3.7	20.6	4.1	9.5	3.2	s	s
No	7.9	0.2	19.7	0.6	6.6	0.4	5.9	0.5	1.4	0.2

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Never married	4.9	0.3	-	-	8.0	0.5	-	-	1.6	0.3
Not married but living with a partner	3.5	0.5	-	-	6.4	1.1	-	-	s	s
Married	1.9	0.4	-	-	3.3	0.9	-	-	0.9	0.4
Divorced or separated	5.1	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other	4.6	1.5	-	-	8.6	2.7	-	-	-	-
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	8.5	0.7	13.4	1.1	-	-	3.6	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	8.5	0.7	13.7	1.2	-	-	3.1	0.6	-	-
Junior	5.3	0.5	7.7	0.8	-	-	3.0	0.7	-	-
Senior	7.0	0.6	10.6	0.8	-	-	3.1	0.6	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	8.5	0.7	13.4	1.1	-	-	3.6	0.7	-	-
Sophomore	13.3	0.7	21.7	1.3	-	-	4.8	0.8	-	-
Junior	13.7	0.8	20.6	1.4	-	-	7.4	1.0	-	-
Senior	17.2	0.9	26.0	1.3	-	-	8.0	1.0	-	-

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st	3.2	0.3	-	-	5.8	0.6	-	-	0.8	0.3
2nd	2.3	0.4	-	-	3.8	0.6	-	-	s	s
3rd	1.4	0.4	-	-	1.7	0.6	-	-	s	s
4th	0.9	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
5th	0.4	0.2	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	2.5	0.7	-	-	2.3	0.9	-	-	2.6	1.1
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st	3.8	0.3	-	-	6.7	0.6	-	-	1.0	0.3
2nd	3.3	0.4	-	-	5.5	0.7	-	-	0.8	0.3
3rd	4.4	0.6	-	-	7.4	1.2	-	-	1.1	0.4
4th	4.1	0.8	-	-	5.8	1.3	-	-	3.0	1.0
5th	3.8	1.0	-	-	6.0	1.6	-	-	s	s
6th year or more	10.3	1.4	-	-	15.5	2.4	-	-	4.5	1.4

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	12.2	0.4	6.7	0.5	2.7	0.3	14.8	0.6	4.9	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	19.0	1.2	15.8	1.6	3.3	0.8	21.2	1.8	4.2	0.9
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	18.3	1.4	8.0	1.3	2.1	1.1	18.9	1.9	6.0	1.6
Not Hispanic	12.6	0.4	7.8	0.5	2.9	0.3	15.3	0.6	4.9	0.4
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	20.5	3.2	12.4	3.2	-	-	25.1	4.8	-	-
Asian	9.8	0.7	6.5	1.0	1.3	0.3	11.4	1.2	4.2	0.6
Black or African American	16.5	1.5	8.5	1.5	3.8	1.3	18.6	2.0	4.1	1.7
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	20.6	8.0	-	-	-	-	31.9	11.9	-	-
White	14.2	0.5	8.7	0.5	3.6	0.4	16.3	0.7	5.3	0.5
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	29.8	2.8	10.9	2.6	9.9	2.9	27.7	3.4	16.3	3.3
No	12.5	0.4	7.7	0.4	2.7	0.3	15.3	0.5	4.6	0.4
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	8.0	0.5	-	-	3.3	0.3	-	-	5.4	0.5
Not married but living with a partner	6.4	1.1	-	-	2.5	0.7	-	-	5.2	1.1
Married	3.3	0.9	-	-	1.2	0.4	-	-	2.7	0.8
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	8.6	2.7	-	-	s	s	-	-	6.6	2.4

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	13.4	1.1	5.6	0.8	-	-	9.9	1.0	-	-
Sophomore	13.7	1.2	5.0	0.8	-	-	10.2	1.1	-	-
Junior	7.7	0.8	2.8	0.5	-	-	5.5	0.7	-	-
Senior	10.6	0.8	4.3	0.6	-	-	6.4	0.7	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	13.4	1.1	5.6	0.8	-	-	9.9	1.0	-	-
Sophomore	21.7	1.3	6.8	0.8	-	-	17.7	1.2	-	-
Junior	20.6	1.4	8.0	1.0	-	-	16.0	1.2	-	-
Senior	26.0	1.3	11.1	1.0	-	-	19.2	1.2	-	-
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	5.8	0.6	-	-	2.1	0.4	-	-	4.3	0.5
2nd year	3.8	0.6	-	-	2.0	0.4	-	-	2.0	0.4
3rd year	1.7	0.6	-	-	s	s	-	-	1.1	0.5
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th year	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
6th year	2.3	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	0.9

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	6.7	0.6	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-	4.9	0.5
2nd year	5.5	0.7	-	-	2.8	0.5	-	-	3.4	0.6
3rd year	7.4	1.2	-	-	2.2	0.6	-	-	6.1	1.0
4th year	5.8	1.3	-	-	2.1	0.8	-	-	3.7	1.1
5th year	6.0	1.6	-	-	2.5	1.0	-	-	3.5	1.1
6th year	15.5	2.4	-	-	6.8	1.7	-	-	10.9	2.0

¹Per 100 students.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	97.1	1.0	98.6	0.5
Female	-	-	-	-	3.8	1.0	3.5	0.9
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	85.6	11.2	79.6	15.5	74.6	2.5	66.7	2.1
2 offenders	-	-	s	s	17.2	1.8	25.6	1.8
3 or more offenders	s	s	-	-	8.2	1.7	7.6	1.1
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	67.7	14.0	79.8	15.9	84.6	1.9	83.3	1.4
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	s	s	1.9	0.6
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	s	s	s	s	s	s
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	s	s	6.1	1.0
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-	16.3	1.8	15.3	1.6

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	51.3	15.0	s	s	54.7	2.4	25.4	2.0
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	s	s	17.3	2.2	8.8	1.1
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	s	s	s	1.8	0.7	2.1	0.6
Friend or acquaintance	s	s	s	s	38.3	2.5	49.1	2.5
Stranger	-	-	s	s	14.9	1.9	31.9	2.0
Other	s	s	s	s	s	s	3.9	0.8
Don't Know	-	-	s	s	s	s	1.0	0.4

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	s	s	-	-	44.9	5.2	43.4	4.6
Female	69.3	9.6	-	-	67.2	5.6	63.8	4.7
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	64.6	17.7	-	-	71.6	5.7	73.8	3.6
2 offenders	s	s	-	-	19.3	5.1	20.5	3.3
3 or more offenders	s	s	-	-	9.1	3.5	5.7	1.9
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	100.0	0.0	-	-	70.4	6.2	85.5	3.3
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Don't know association with university	-	-	-	-	26.7	5.5	17.1	3.5

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	s	s	-	-	42.5	5.9	16.5	3.8
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	55.5	9.5	-	-	32.0	5.3	15.2	3.5
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	79.9	16.5	-	-	35.6	6.1	73.4	3.8
Stranger	s	s	-	-	17.2	4.2	15.2	3.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.0	2.4
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)		Male (n=2,945)		TGQN (n=68)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	10.7	0.3	16.6	0.4	4.9	0.3	19.1	3.5
Penetration	4.4	0.2	7.0	0.3	1.6	0.2	15.2	3.3
Sexual Touching	8.2	0.2	12.8	0.4	3.7	0.2	11.2	3.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.1	0.3	17.2	0.4	5.1	0.3	20.6	3.6
Penetration	5.0	0.2	8.0	0.3	1.8	0.2	16.7	3.5
Sexual Touching	8.2	0.2	12.8	0.4	3.7	0.2	11.2	3.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	11.2	0.3	17.4	0.4	5.2	0.3	20.6	3.6
Penetration	5.1	0.2	8.1	0.3	2.0	0.2	16.7	3.5
Sexual Touching	8.3	0.2	13.0	0.4	3.8	0.3	11.2	3.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.1	0.3	24.9	0.5	7.4	0.3	28.4	4.1
Penetration	7.3	0.2	11.5	0.4	2.9	0.2	19.0	3.6
Sexual Touching	12.4	0.3	19.4	0.5	5.6	0.3	16.8	3.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,702)		Male (n=1,416)		TGQN (n=38)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	17.5	0.5	27.1	0.9	7.9	0.6	28.4	5.0
Penetration	7.3	0.3	11.6	0.6	2.8	0.3	21.1	4.4
Sexual Touching	13.6	0.5	21.5	0.8	5.7	0.5	17.1	4.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	18.1	0.5	28.1	0.9	8.2	0.6	28.4	5.0
Penetration	8.3	0.3	13.2	0.6	3.1	0.3	21.1	4.4
Sexual Touching	13.6	0.5	21.5	0.8	5.7	0.5	17.1	4.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.2	0.5	28.2	0.9	8.2	0.6	28.4	5.0
Penetration	8.4	0.3	13.3	0.6	3.3	0.3	21.1	4.4
Sexual Touching	13.6	0.5	21.7	0.8	5.7	0.5	17.1	4.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	25.2	0.6	38.8	1.0	11.7	0.6	37.8	4.8
Penetration	11.5	0.4	18.2	0.8	4.8	0.4	23.2	4.5
Sexual Touching	20.0	0.5	31.4	0.9	8.9	0.5	24.3	4.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,805)		Male (n=1,529)		TGQN (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.1	0.3	7.9	0.4	2.5	0.3	s	s
Penetration	2.0	0.2	3.2	0.3	0.7	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	3.8	0.2	5.6	0.3	2.1	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.3	0.2	8.2	0.4	2.5	0.3	11.8	4.8
Penetration	2.2	0.2	3.6	0.3	0.8	0.2	11.8	4.8
Sexual Touching	3.8	0.2	5.6	0.3	2.1	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	5.5	0.3	8.4	0.4	2.7	0.3	11.8	4.8
Penetration	2.4	0.2	3.7	0.3	0.9	0.2	11.8	4.8
Sexual Touching	3.9	0.2	5.8	0.3	2.2	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.6	0.3	13.3	0.4	3.9	0.3	17.7	5.4
Penetration	3.7	0.2	6.0	0.3	1.5	0.2	14.2	4.9
Sexual Touching	6.1	0.3	9.5	0.5	3.0	0.3	s	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=412)		Male (n=336)		TGQN (n=12)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	22.6	1.1	32.5	1.7	12.3	1.2	42.9	12.5
Penetration	11.3	0.8	16.6	1.4	5.1	0.8	42.9	12.5
Sexual Touching	17.0	1.0	25.8	1.4	8.4	1.1	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	23.9	1.1	34.6	1.6	12.8	1.2	42.9	12.5
Penetration	13.4	0.9	20.4	1.3	5.6	0.8	42.9	12.5
Sexual Touching	17.0	1.0	25.8	1.4	8.4	1.1	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	23.9	1.1	34.6	1.6	12.8	1.2	42.9	12.5
Penetration	13.4	0.9	20.4	1.3	5.6	0.8	42.9	12.5
Sexual Touching	17.0	1.0	25.8	1.4	8.4	1.1	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	32.0	1.1	46.5	1.7	16.8	1.3	57.8	13.4
Penetration	17.1	0.9	26.0	1.4	7.3	1.0	49.6	12.9
Sexual Touching	25.0	1.1	37.2	1.5	13.1	1.2	22.2	9.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)		Male (n=2,945)		TGQN (n=68)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.6	0.2	8.6	0.3	2.7	0.2	9.1	3.0
Penetration	1.7	0.1	2.6	0.2	0.9	0.1	4.4	1.8
Sexual Touching	4.5	0.2	7.0	0.3	2.1	0.2	4.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.8	0.2	8.7	0.3	2.8	0.2	9.1	3.0
Penetration	1.9	0.1	2.8	0.2	1.0	0.1	4.4	1.8
Sexual Touching	4.5	0.2	7.0	0.3	2.1	0.2	4.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.8	0.2	8.8	0.3	2.9	0.2	9.1	3.0
Penetration	2.0	0.1	2.9	0.2	1.0	0.1	4.4	1.8
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.2	7.1	0.3	2.1	0.2	4.7	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	8.9	0.3	13.5	0.4	4.3	0.3	17.4	3.5
Penetration	3.4	0.2	5.2	0.2	1.6	0.2	7.2	2.1
Sexual Touching	7.0	0.2	10.7	0.4	3.3	0.2	10.2	3.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,702)		Male (n=1,416)		TGQN (n=38)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.0	0.4	13.9	0.6	4.1	0.3	13.1	3.9
Penetration	2.6	0.2	3.8	0.3	1.4	0.2	8.3	3.3
Sexual Touching	7.4	0.4	11.8	0.6	3.1	0.3	s	s
<i>Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force</i>	9.3	0.4	14.3	0.6	4.2	0.3	13.1	3.9
Penetration	2.9	0.2	4.3	0.3	1.5	0.2	8.3	3.3
Sexual Touching	7.4	0.4	11.8	0.6	3.1	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.3	0.4	14.4	0.6	4.2	0.3	13.1	3.9
Penetration	3.0	0.2	4.4	0.3	1.6	0.2	8.3	3.3
Sexual Touching	7.4	0.4	11.9	0.6	3.1	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	14.1	0.5	21.6	0.8	6.5	0.5	23.5	4.6
Penetration	5.3	0.3	8.0	0.4	2.4	0.3	11.4	3.7
Sexual Touching	11.2	0.4	17.5	0.8	5.0	0.4	12.1	3.6

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,805)		Male (n=1,529)		TGQN (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	2.8	0.2	4.1	0.3	1.6	0.2	s	s
Penetration	1.0	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.5	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.2	3.1	0.3	1.4	0.2	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.9	0.2	4.1	0.3	1.7	0.2	s	s
Penetration	1.1	0.1	1.6	0.2	0.5	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.2	3.1	0.3	1.4	0.2	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.9	0.2	4.2	0.3	1.7	0.3	s	s
Penetration	1.1	0.1	1.7	0.2	0.6	0.1	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.2	3.1	0.3	1.4	0.2	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	4.6	0.2	6.6	0.3	2.5	0.3	s	s
Penetration	1.9	0.1	2.8	0.2	0.9	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	3.5	0.2	5.0	0.3	2.0	0.2	s	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=412)		Male (n=336)		TGQN (n=12)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.3	0.7	10.4	1.2	3.7	0.7	25.8	10.5
Penetration	2.5	0.4	2.7	0.6	1.5	0.4	25.8	10.5
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.6	8.7	1.1	2.2	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.7	0.7	11.2	1.2	3.7	0.7	25.8	10.5
Penetration	2.9	0.4	3.5	0.7	1.5	0.4	25.8	10.5
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.6	8.7	1.1	2.2	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.7	0.7	11.2	1.2	3.7	0.7	25.8	10.5
Penetration	2.9	0.4	3.5	0.7	1.5	0.4	25.8	10.5
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.6	8.7	1.1	2.2	0.6	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	12.5	0.7	17.9	1.3	6.2	0.9	43.8	12.4
Penetration	5.1	0.5	7.3	0.9	2.1	0.6	35.6	11.7
Sexual Touching	8.8	0.7	13.0	1.2	4.7	0.8	s	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	55.1	0.4	74.0	0.6	53.9	0.8	57.6	0.8	38.2	0.9
<i>University-associated individual:</i>										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	37.6	0.4	54.7	0.7	41.1	0.8	33.8	0.8	22.8	0.7
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	44.0	0.4	62.0	0.7	39.8	0.7	47.6	0.9	29.7	0.9
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	19.1	0.3	29.4	0.8	16.1	0.6	21.7	0.8	11.4	0.6
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	7.4	0.2	10.1	0.5	5.5	0.4	9.5	0.6	5.1	0.4
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	11.2	0.2	23.5	0.6	12.0	0.5	7.2	0.4	3.9	0.3
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	29.5	0.5	24.1	0.8	33.6	1.0	26.8	1.0	36.4	1.6
2 persons	28.5	0.5	31.0	0.9	32.2	1.1	24.0	1.1	25.1	1.3
3 or more persons	41.9	0.5	44.8	0.8	34.2	1.0	49.2	1.2	38.5	1.6

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	19.3	0.5	15.8	0.7	23.6	1.0	17.7	0.9	21.8	1.2
1 time	21.8	0.6	20.5	0.8	21.9	0.8	19.8	1.1	25.8	1.2
2 times	22.2	0.5	26.0	0.9	22.4	1.1	19.6	0.9	19.0	1.1
3-5 times	27.1	0.4	28.9	0.9	23.8	0.8	30.7	1.2	23.9	1.0
6-9 times	5.1	0.3	6.1	0.5	4.6	0.4	5.4	0.5	3.6	0.6
10 or more times	4.6	0.2	2.7	0.3	3.7	0.4	6.9	0.5	5.9	0.7
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	90.7	0.4	97.1	0.3	82.4	0.9	96.1	0.5	85.5	0.8
Faculty	14.7	0.4	7.7	0.6	29.5	1.0	3.9	0.5	18.2	1.0
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.7	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.4	0.2	1.3	0.2	-	-
Other staff or administrator	4.8	0.3	2.9	0.3	7.9	0.6	1.6	0.3	6.9	0.9
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.4	0.2	1.5	0.2	4.4	0.5	1.2	0.2	2.7	0.4
The person was not affiliated with [University]	4.7	0.3	4.5	0.4	5.2	0.6	3.2	0.4	5.9	0.7
Don't know association with [University]	6.9	0.3	9.1	0.5	6.3	0.6	4.6	0.5	6.7	0.7

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.0	0.3	12.5	0.5	3.7	0.4	4.3	0.5	1.4	0.3
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.9	0.3	14.3	0.7	5.6	0.5	6.7	0.7	3.2	0.5
Teacher or advisor	11.3	0.4	6.3	0.6	22.2	1.0	3.6	0.4	13.2	0.9
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	7.7	0.3	3.7	0.4	14.9	0.7	1.0	0.2	12.1	1.0
Friend or acquaintance	78.1	0.4	81.3	0.8	69.7	1.0	85.0	0.8	76.7	1.2
Stranger	28.2	0.4	39.8	1.1	22.8	1.2	27.0	1.0	18.0	1.2
Other	5.9	0.3	3.8	0.4	8.4	0.6	4.3	0.5	7.5	0.8
Don't Know	2.2	0.2	2.1	0.3	1.5	0.3	3.1	0.5	2.3	0.4

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2}(continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Percent of Students Reporting Harassment</i>	84.2	4.1	78.0	5.2
<i>University-associated individual:</i>				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	68.3	5.7	66.0	6.4
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	84.2	4.1	61.7	6.3
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	31.1	5.1	30.6	6.5
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	17.6	4.3	s	s
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	18.8	4.8	12.8	4.1
<i>Percent of Victims of Harassment</i>				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	10.6	3.4	30.5	6.8
2 persons	48.3	6.4	16.8	5.5
3 or more persons	41.1	7.2	52.7	8.9

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	11.5	4.7	s	s
1 time	24.5	5.0	24.8	6.9
2 times	22.7	5.3	25.0	6.9
3-5 times	32.4	6.5	35.1	7.0
6-9 times	s	s	11.5	5.5
10 or more times	s	s	-	-
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	100.0	0.0	81.9	5.7
Faculty	9.7	3.9	32.8	7.4
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	10.8	4.1	16.2	6.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with [University]	s	s	s	s
Don't know association with [University]	12.7	4.1	s	s

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	s	s	s	s
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	s	s
Teacher or advisor	s	s	28.7	7.2
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	13.6	4.5	12.7	5.1
Friend or acquaintance	77.7	6.2	54.0	7.0
Stranger	60.7	7.0	25.6	6.9
Other	s	s	s	s
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

² TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	58.1	0.5	71.0	1.0	67.6	0.9	42.6	1.1	43.7	1.4
Family member	12.6	0.3	13.1	0.6	19.9	0.8	5.3	0.5	10.0	0.8
Faculty	3.7	0.2	3.5	0.4	7.5	0.6	1.0	0.3	2.2	0.4
Someone else	5.3	0.2	3.6	0.4	8.4	0.6	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.6
I didn't tell anyone else	38.2	0.5	28.8	0.9	27.3	0.9	53.7	1.1	49.2	1.3

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	5.2	0.2	7.1	0.5	5.7	0.5	3.5	0.6	2.7	0.5
Contacted The SHARE Center	46.7	2.4	65.9	3.5	37.8	4.7	36.6	5.5	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	51.5	3.4	55.8	4.3	42.1	7.2	43.3	13.8	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	29.0	3.3	27.4	4.2	30.7	7.8	25.3	8.9	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.4	2.4	14.7	3.5	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	6.1	1.8	s	s	16.9	6.4	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	9.2	2.3	6.8	2.2	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	16.0	2.4	10.4	2.8	24.4	7.0	28.5	11.7	-	-
Somewhat	16.8	2.4	18.3	3.3	18.4	5.9	s	s	-	-
Very	30.0	3.1	34.1	4.2	15.8	6.4	26.6	9.4	s	s
Extremely	27.9	3.2	30.5	4.1	30.3	8.5	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinators	35.4	2.1	34.6	3.7	38.6	4.7	29.3	6.2	31.9	9.4
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	46.9	4.3	51.5	6.0	51.6	8.1	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.7	4.2	35.2	6.6	26.0	7.4	47.1	14.2	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.3	2.8	s	s	14.2	5.7	s	s	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.1	2.2	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	16.4	3.1	11.7	3.6	28.0	7.4	s	s	-	-
A little	16.2	2.8	16.9	4.9	14.8	5.5	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	33.9	3.4	36.0	6.4	39.6	7.5	s	s	s	s
Very	20.4	3.5	9.3	3.6	14.2	5.5	s	s	s	s
Extremely	13.1	3.0	26.1	6.3	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University-Wide Committee (UWC)	17.3	2.1	21.7	3.6	15.1	3.5	s	s	26.6	6.7
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	45.5	7.1	55.7	11.3	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.2	5.3	26.5	6.8	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.0	3.9	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.2	4.5	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	23.1	5.1	23.6	6.6	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	12.8	4.3	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	31.0	6.1	33.2	8.8	52.9	14.3	-	-	-	-
Very	14.7	4.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	18.4	4.9	21.1	7.1	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Police Department	14.5	1.9	8.8	2.3	24.3	3.8	13.8	5.9	19.8	7.4
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	60.7	7.1	72.3	11.7	49.1	10.8	73.7	26.4	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	26.5	6.5	s	s	32.0	10.3	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	24.9	5.8	s	s	29.5	9.8	s	s	s	s
A little	22.4	5.7	52.8	11.8	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	19.5	4.9	s	s	26.5	8.7	s	s	-	-
Very	17.3	4.6	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Extremely	15.8	5.4	-	-	23.7	9.2	-	-	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Health	41.7	2.7	35.2	3.4	41.3	5.1	48.4	5.6	40.9	8.8
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	62.5	4.7	65.7	6.0	53.3	8.0	71.8	9.6	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.2	3.5	24.7	5.2	28.3	6.9	20.7	8.4	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.6	2.9	9.6	3.9	s	s	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	3.6	1.5	-	-	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	16.9	2.8	27.5	5.7	s	s	20.8	8.4	-	-
A little	15.4	3.0	25.4	5.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	26.5	3.6	12.4	4.2	44.0	7.0	46.9	11.7	s	s
Very	30.2	4.4	26.1	6.3	35.0	6.5	s	s	81.8	14.0
Extremely	10.9	2.4	8.5	3.4	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	4.0	0.2	4.6	0.5	5.4	0.5	2.3	0.4	3.0	0.6
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	4.5	0.2	6.5	0.5	5.4	0.6	1.9	0.3	2.8	0.5
I did not think anyone would believe me	1.5	0.1	1.6	0.2	1.9	0.3	s	s	1.9	0.4
I did not think it was serious enough to report	83.7	0.3	87.7	0.7	82.6	0.7	84.4	0.8	78.6	1.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	14.8	0.4	13.7	0.7	18.1	0.9	10.8	0.8	17.4	1.2
I feared negative social consequences	12.4	0.4	12.4	0.7	18.6	0.9	6.3	0.6	11.3	0.9
I did not think anything would be done	16.9	0.5	17.9	0.8	25.5	1.1	8.6	0.6	13.2	1.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	7.4	0.3	6.1	0.5	13.2	0.8	2.5	0.4	7.5	0.8
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	4.9	0.3	3.5	0.4	7.0	0.6	2.8	0.5	6.9	0.7
Incident did not occur while attending school	1.9	0.2	1.1	0.2	2.0	0.4	1.9	0.3	2.7	0.5
Other	13.0	0.4	10.3	0.6	12.4	0.7	14.9	0.8	15.6	0.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2,3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	8.0	0.3	10.9	0.6	6.1	0.4	8.7	0.7	6.9	0.5
Partner controlled or tried to control	4.8	0.2	6.8	0.4	3.4	0.3	5.5	0.5	3.8	0.4
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	3.4	0.2	4.7	0.5	2.7	0.3	3.6	0.4	3.0	0.4
Partner used physical force	3.0	0.2	3.9	0.4	2.4	0.3	3.5	0.4	2.6	0.4
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	93.9	0.9	92.6	1.5	95.2	1.6	94.4	1.7	95.5	1.5
2 persons	4.7	0.8	6.7	1.5	4.8	1.6	s	s	3.2	1.3
3 or more persons	1.4	0.4	s	s	-	-	3.7	1.4	s	s
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	40.5	1.8	45.7	2.8	46.2	3.7	43.4	4.3	27.3	3.4
1 time	24.9	1.6	23.3	2.1	24.4	3.0	21.4	3.3	28.7	3.7
2 times	15.2	1.1	14.6	1.9	17.3	2.8	12.7	2.6	17.1	2.8
3-5 times	12.2	1.3	9.3	2.0	10.0	2.1	13.6	2.8	16.9	3.0
6-9 times	3.5	0.6	4.3	1.2	s	s	5.3	1.6	3.1	1.3
10 or more times	3.6	0.7	2.8	1.0	s	s	3.6	1.5	7.0	1.9

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2,3}(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	14.5	5.1	12.6	5.0
Partner controlled or tried to control	s	s	12.6	5.0
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	9.9	4.0	-	-
Partner used physical force	s	s	s	s
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	80.8	15.3	s	s
2 persons	s	s	s	s
3 or more persons	-	-	-	-
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	s	s	s	s
1 time	s	s	s	s
2 times	s	s	-	-
3-5 times	-	-	-	-
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	66.4	1.8	74.7	2.4	67.8	3.7	63.2	4.0	58.4	3.9
Family member	25.1	1.3	26.8	2.6	26.1	2.7	19.6	2.8	29.4	3.5
Faculty	6.8	0.9	9.7	2.0	7.8	2.0	4.3	1.8	4.7	2.0
Someone else	10.3	1.0	7.6	1.7	12.6	2.4	10.3	2.4	11.6	2.5
I didn't tell anyone else	29.8	1.9	20.6	2.3	27.9	3.4	32.5	3.9	39.3	4.0

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.1	1.3	13.1	2.5	19.4	3.0	11.5	2.2	17.6	2.9
Contacted The SHARE Center	34.6	4.0	58.6	6.8	17.1	7.0	45.9	10.7	19.8	7.6
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	71.1	6.4	53.1	12.0	s	s	100	0.0	100	0.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.1	5.1	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	15.6	6.1	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
A little	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	12.8	5.3	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Very	25.9	6.6	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Extremely	36.5	8.0	55.6	12.6	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinators	18.1	3.5	41.1	9.6	s	s	s	s	15.8	6.6
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	78.7	7.2	62.1	10.5	s	s	s	s	100	0.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	28.2	10.5	50.3	13.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	40.7	12.7	s	s	-	-	-	-	100	0.0

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University-Wide Committee (UWC)	8.3	2.9	21.7	7.8	s	s	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	83.4	13.9	74.1	24.9	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Police Department	16.3	3.3	16.5	7.1	16.1	6.0	s	s	22.1	8.1
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	48.4	12.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	43.4	12.8	-	-	s	s	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	32.3	12.2	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Extremely	24.3	9.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Health	61.9	4.2	31.8	6.8	82.1	6.5	36.7	10.3	82.9	7.1
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	45.9	6.9	s	s	53.4	9.4	-	-	57.9	13.5
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.0	4.4	s	s	31.9	9.9	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.0	4.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	17.1	5.0	s	s	-	-	s	s	23.9	9.0
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	13.8	3.7	46.9	17.0	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	17.0	4.1	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	32.6	5.5	s	s	43.8	9.3	s	s	s	s
Very	12.5	3.9	-	-	19.1	6.8	-	-	s	s
Extremely	24.1	5.1	s	s	s	s	-	-	49.9	9.2

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.8	0.9	12.3	1.9	12.7	2.6	5.7	1.9	s	s
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	15.4	1.3	21.8	3.1	21.4	3.4	9.1	2.3	9.4	2.3
I did not think anyone would believe me	4.8	0.8	6.5	1.5	5.9	1.9	5.7	2.0	s	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	63.1	1.7	57.5	3.2	54.8	4.2	73.8	3.1	66.4	4.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	27.4	1.7	31.3	3.0	25.5	3.7	19.8	3.1	33.3	3.7
I feared negative social consequences	14.1	1.4	16.8	2.3	17.6	3.5	11.8	2.4	11.2	2.6
I did not think anything would be done	14.7	1.3	19.9	2.4	25.0	3.4	8.2	2.4	7.1	2.1
I feared it would not be kept confidential	9.5	0.9	13.1	1.9	15.6	2.9	6.8	1.8	s	s
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	24.2	1.6	13.0	1.9	39.5	4.8	14.6	2.5	34.7	3.8
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.6	0.8	4.1	1.2	s	s	5.2	1.4	5.1	1.7
Other	17.7	1.3	19.0	2.8	14.7	2.8	23.5	2.6	12.0	3.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	3.3	0.2	5.2	0.4	4.3	0.3	2.0	0.3	1.8	0.2
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	1.8	0.1	2.3	0.3	2.5	0.3	1.5	0.2	1.1	0.2
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.5	0.1	2.6	0.3	1.9	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.1
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	0.9	0.1	1.5	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.2
Percent of Victims of Stalking										
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	32.0	2.4	37.1	3.7	30.6	4.0	17.2	5.8	37.7	7.3
1 time	12.4	1.7	9.3	3.0	15.2	2.8	16.9	5.8	10.5	4.1
2 times	22.2	1.9	22.4	3.3	20.3	3.5	23.2	5.1	25.1	5.1
3-5 times	25.5	2.2	26.6	3.1	25.1	3.5	30.4	5.5	19.3	6.0
6-9 times	4.0	0.9	3.3	1.3	5.4	1.8	s	s	-	-
10 or more times	3.9	0.9	s	s	3.4	1.4	s	s	s	s
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	63.5	3.0	77.2	3.5	52.2	4.5	81.0	5.6	44.3	7.6
Faculty	4.3	1.1	4.5	1.6	s	s	-	-	11.8	4.5
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	4.2	1.1	s	s	4.3	1.7	s	s	s	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	5.9	1.1	s	s	7.7	2.3	s	s	11.8	4.7
Don't know association with university	26.3	2.0	17.0	3.2	41.5	4.7	10.6	4.2	25.0	7.3

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	12.1	1.7	21.4	3.4	s	s	16.6	5.3	s	s
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	19.8	2.1	21.0	3.1	18.0	3.0	31.0	6.2	13.1	4.9
Teacher or advisor	3.4	0.9	4.2	1.7	s	s	-	-	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.0	0.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	46.7	2.9	48.1	4.3	49.1	4.4	48.7	7.3	36.0	7.2
Stranger	25.9	2.5	23.0	3.0	31.6	3.8	23.0	5.4	22.6	5.9
Other	9.7	1.6	5.5	1.9	14.2	3.1	s	s	s	s
Don't Know	4.7	1.0	s	s	6.0	2.0	s	s	s	s

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	8.0	3.2	s	s
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	s	s	s	s
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	s	s	s	s
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	s	s	-	-
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	s	s	-	-
1 time	-	-	-	-
2 times	s	s	-	-
3-5 times	s	s	-	-
6-9 times	-	-	s	s
10 or more times	-	-	-	-
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	s	s	s	s
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=68)			
	Undergraduate (n=38)		Graduate or Professional (n=30)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	S	S	-	-
Stranger	S	S	-	-
Other	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	90.8	1.6	96.7	1.6	88.2	3.1	82.4	6.2	92.7	3.7
Family member	44.8	2.9	42.9	4.5	52.6	4.2	17.8	5.1	58.4	7.4
Faculty	20.2	1.8	15.2	2.7	25.1	3.3	13.9	5.6	22.8	6.2
Someone else	18.2	2.0	10.2	2.6	26.9	3.9	12.9	5.0	20.6	6.1
I didn't tell anyone else	5.3	1.2	s	s	4.6	1.9	11.1	4.4	s	s

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	30.6	2.2	26.2	3.2	37.1	3.7	19.1	5.4	34.2	6.3
Contacted The SHARE Center	35.7	4.3	52.4	8.4	26.8	6.4	63.2	18.7	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	55.1	8.5	43.8	12.9	48.0	16.4	100	0.0	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	40.9	7.9	47.1	12.6	52.0	16.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	11.9	4.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	43.0	7.7	38.5	10.3	36.2	17.2	s	s	s	s
Extremely	26.4	6.4	33.2	9.4	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinators	36.4	4.6	48.6	8.6	24.5	6.6	s	s	39.1	12.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	64.8	8.5	45.8	14.2	84.9	11.8	s	s	71.2	22.8
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.1	7.0	44.4	13.8	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	25.6	7.6	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	27.5	5.6	s	s	42.5	13.6	-	-	s	s
Very	17.9	5.9	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	19.4	5.8	41.3	13.5	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University-Wide Committee (UWC)	16.6	3.4	27.6	8.3	9.9	3.8	s	s	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	65.5	11.4	67.5	18.2	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	27.8	10.5	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	34.4	10.7	61.0	19.0	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Police Department	38.5	4.7	24.7	7.0	55.9	7.2	-	-	46.4	12.9
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	36.7	7.6	s	s	31.3	10.7	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	35.4	7.2	s	s	46.3	10.7	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.0	5.6	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.9	5.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	22.8	6.4	-	-	19.9	7.9	-	-	s	s
A little	11.8	5.7	-	-	19.6	9.0	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	29.4	7.8	s	s	20.4	8.0	-	-	s	s
Very	21.0	7.3	s	s	21.4	8.5	-	-	-	-
Extremely	15.1	4.6	s	s	18.7	6.9	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Yale Health	34.8	4.1	17.2	6.8	43.9	6.2	s	s	48.6	13.3
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	53.2	8.2	s	s	54.4	11.4	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.8	6.4	-	-	45.6	11.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	21.9	6.7	s	s	24.8	9.5	s	s	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	28.9	7.6	-	-	31.3	11.3	-	-	s	s
Very	24.5	6.5	s	s	35.6	11.0	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted New Haven Police Department	19.2	3.7	15.4	6.1	26.4	6.4	-	-	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	29.5	10.8	s	s	40.6	18.1	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.2	10.8	-	-	44.3	17.4	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	34.7	12.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=3,507)				Male (n=2,945)			
			Undergraduate (n=1,702)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,805)		Undergraduate (n=1,416)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	10.6	1.8	17.3	2.8	5.9	2.2	s	s	-	-
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	18.7	2.2	22.1	3.7	17.5	4.2	25.7	6.8	s	s
I did not think anyone would believe me	8.3	1.5	13.0	3.0	5.6	2.1	s	s	-	-
I did not think it was serious enough to report	53.8	2.9	66.0	4.5	45.5	5.2	57.7	8.5	41.6	9.5
I did not want the person to get into trouble	17.2	2.1	16.9	3.2	16.4	4.0	16.1	5.7	17.9	8.9
I feared negative social consequences	15.6	1.7	18.7	3.7	12.8	3.5	24.5	6.2	s	s
I did not think anything would be done	34.8	2.9	40.1	5.0	29.6	5.3	24.7	6.2	42.4	9.9
I feared it would not be kept confidential	10.8	1.7	12.4	3.0	9.5	3.3	s	s	17.6	6.0
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	13.8	2.0	7.7	2.3	27.7	4.9	s	s	s	s
Incident did not occur while attending school	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Other	19.6	2.5	14.4	4.0	15.1	4.0	23.9	6.7	34.6	8.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (3,507)				Male (2,945)			
			Undergraduate (1,702)		Graduate or Professional (1,805)		Undergraduate (1,416)		Graduate or Professional (1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Harassment										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	52.6	0.5	72.8	0.7	52.2	0.8	54.4	1.0	35.4	0.9
Non-Heterosexual	68.7	1.0	82.6	1.6	66.8	2.2	70.6	2.0	54.5	2.3
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	56.5	1.4	73.8	1.6	49.4	3.5	54.4	2.5	43.6	3.1
Not Hispanic	54.9	0.4	74.1	0.6	54.2	0.8	58.0	0.8	37.7	0.9
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	68.9	3.0	71.0	4.2	88.5	4.1	75.5	5.8	42.8	6.4
Asian	49.7	0.9	71.7	1.4	46.4	1.5	53.1	2.2	30.5	1.6
Black or African American	63.8	1.6	80.7	2.1	47.9	3.6	66.6	3.2	45.5	3.0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	61.6	8.0	78.2	10.8	76.9	19.0	s	s	-	-
White	56.6	0.6	74.0	0.7	58.5	1.0	58.5	0.9	39.7	1.2
Intimate partner violence										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	7.3	0.3	10.0	0.6	5.8	0.4	8.0	0.7	6.4	0.5
Non-Heterosexual	10.8	1.0	15.9	2.1	8.7	1.4	12.2	1.7	6.6	1.1
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	9.0	1.0	9.8	1.6	10.9	2.3	7.1	1.6	9.2	2.3
Not Hispanic	7.9	0.3	11.0	0.6	5.7	0.4	8.9	0.7	6.7	0.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	15.7	2.4	24.3	4.9	s	s	13.5	4.9	15.7	5.4
Asian	6.6	0.6	9.7	1.1	3.5	0.7	9.4	1.9	4.8	1.0
Black or African American	9.9	1.2	12.7	2.3	9.5	2.5	5.3	1.8	12.7	3.4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
White	8.1	0.3	10.8	0.6	6.4	0.5	8.5	0.7	7.1	0.6

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (3,507)				Male (2,945)			
			Undergraduate (1,702)		Graduate or Professional (1,805)		Undergraduate (1,416)		Graduate or Professional (1,529)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	3.0	0.2	4.5	0.4	4.3	0.3	1.5	0.2	1.6	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	4.3	0.4	7.9	1.4	3.7	1.0	3.9	0.8	2.2	0.7
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	4.4	0.5	6.6	1.1	2.9	1.2	1.9	1.0	5.2	1.5
Not Hispanic	3.2	0.2	5.0	0.4	4.4	0.3	2.0	0.3	1.5	0.2
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	10.5	1.7	14.5	3.7	s	s	s	s	13.5	4.5
Asian	3.2	0.3	3.8	0.6	4.4	0.6	2.5	0.6	1.9	0.6
Black or African American	3.8	0.6	6.9	1.2	5.1	1.6	-	-	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
White	3.1	0.2	5.6	0.5	4.0	0.4	1.9	0.3	1.5	0.3

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.